



Year: 2018

No neurocognitive advantage for immediate antiretroviral treatment in adults with greater than 500 CD4+ T-cell counts

Wright, E J ; Grund, B ; Robertson, K R ; Cysique, L ; Brew, B J ; Collins, G L ; Poehlman-Roediger, M ; Vjecha, M J ; Penalva de Oliveira, A C ; Standridge, B ; Carey, C ; Avihingsanon, A ; Florence, E ; Lundgren, J D ; Arenas-Pinto, A ; Mueller, N J ; Winston, A ; Nsubuga, M S ; Lal, L ; Price, R W ;
INSIGHT START, Neurology Substudy Group

Abstract: **OBJECTIVE** To compare the effect of immediate versus deferred antiretroviral treatment (ART) on neuropsychological test performance in treatment-naïve HIV-positive adults with more than 500 CD4 cells/ l. **DESIGN** Randomized trial. **METHODS** The START parent study randomized participants to commence immediate versus deferred ART until CD4 less than 350 cells/ l. The START Neurology substudy used eight neuropsychological tests, at baseline, months 4, 8, 12 and annually, to compare groups for changes in test performance. Test results were internally standardized to z-scores. The primary outcome was the average of the eight test z-scores (QNPZ-8). Mean changes in QNPZ-8 from baseline were compared by intent-to-treat using longitudinal mixed models. Changes from baseline to specific time points were compared using ANCOVA models. **RESULTS** The 592 participants had a median age of 34 years; median baseline CD4 count was 629 cells/ l; the mean follow-up was 3.4 years. ART was used for 94 and 32% of accrued person-years in the immediate and deferred groups, respectively. There was no difference between the immediate and deferred ART groups in QNPZ-8 change through follow-up [-0.018 (95% CI -0.062 to 0.027, $P = 0.44$)], or at any visit. However, QNPZ-8 scores increased in both arms during the first year, by 0.22 and 0.24, respectively ($P < 0.001$ for increase from baseline). **CONCLUSION** We observed substantial improvement in neurocognitive test performance during the first year in both study arms, underlining the importance of using a control group in studies assessing neurocognitive performance over time. Immediate ART neither benefitted nor harmed neurocognitive performance in individuals with CD4 cell counts above 500 cells/ l.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000001778>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-151815>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

Wright, E J ; Grund, B ; Robertson, K R ; Cysique, L ; Brew, B J ; Collins, G L ; Poehlman-Roediger, M ; Vjecha, M J ; Penalva de Oliveira, A C ; Standridge, B ; Carey, C ; Avihingsanon, A ; Florence, E ; Lundgren, J D ; Arenas-Pinto, A ; Mueller, N J ; Winston, A ; Nsubuga, M S ; Lal, L ; Price, R W ; INSIGHT START, Neurology Substudy Group (2018). No neurocognitive advantage for immediate antiretroviral treatment in adults with greater than 500 CD4+ T-cell counts. *AIDS*, 32(8):985-997.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000001778>

No neurocognitive advantage for immediate antiretroviral treatment in adults with greater than 500 CD4⁺ T-cell counts

Edwina J. Wright^a, Birgit Grund^b, Kevin R. Robertson^c,
Lucette Cysique^d, Bruce J. Brew^e, Gary L. Collins^f,
Mollie Poehlman-Roediger^f, Michael J. Vjecha^g,
Augusto César Penalva de Oliveira^h, Barbara Standridgeⁱ, Cate Carey^j,
Anchalee Avihingsanon^k, Eric Florence^l, Jens D. Lundgren^m,
Alejandro Arenas-Pintoⁿ, Nicolas J. Mueller^o, Alan Winston^p,
Moses S. Nsubuga^q, Luxshimi Lal^r, Richard W. Price^s, for the
INSIGHT START Neurology Substudy Group

Objective: To compare the effect of immediate versus deferred antiretroviral treatment (ART) on neuropsychological test performance in treatment-naïve HIV-positive adults with more than 500 CD4⁺ cells/ μ l.

Design: Randomized trial.

Methods: The START parent study randomized participants to commence immediate versus deferred ART until CD4⁺ less than 350 cells/ μ l. The START Neurology substudy used eight neuropsychological tests, at baseline, months 4, 8, 12 and annually, to compare groups for changes in test performance. Test results were internally standardized to z-scores. The primary outcome was the average of the eight test z-scores (QNPZ-8). Mean changes in QNPZ-8 from baseline were compared by intent-to-treat using longitudinal mixed models. Changes from baseline to specific time points were compared using ANCOVA models.

Results: The 592 participants had a median age of 34 years; median baseline CD4⁺ count was 629 cells/ μ l; the mean follow-up was 3.4 years. ART was used for 94 and 32% of accrued person-years in the immediate and deferred groups, respectively. There was no difference between the immediate and deferred ART groups in QNPZ-8 change

^aDepartment of Infectious Diseases Alfred Health, Monash University, Burnet Institute, The Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Australia, ^bSchool of Statistics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, ^cDepartment of Neurology, University of North Carolina, North Carolina, USA, ^dNeurosciences Research Australia, St. Vincent's Hospital Sydney, Applied Medical Research Centre, ^eNeurosciences Program, Peter Duncan Neurosciences Unit, St Vincent's Centre for Applied Medical Research, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, ^fDivision of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, ^gInstitute for Clinical Research, Inc, Washington, DC, USA, ^hInstituto Emilio Ribas, Sao Paulo, Brazil, ⁱVeterans Affairs Medical Center, Washington DC, USA, ^jKirby Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, ^kHIV-NAT Thai Red Cross AIDS Research Centre and Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, ^lDepartment of Clinical Sciences, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium, ^mCHIP, Department of Infectious Diseases, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁿMRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, Institute of Clinical Trials & Methodology, University College London, London, UK, ^oDivision of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland, ^pDivision of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK, ^qPeople in Need Agency (PINA), Uganda, ^rBurnet Institute, Melbourne, Australia, and ^sDepartment of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA.

Correspondence to Edwina J. Wright, MBBS, FRACP, PhD, Department of Infectious Diseases Alfred Health, Monash University, Burnet Institute, The Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity Melbourne, VIC, Australia.

E-mail: edwina.wright@monash.edu

Received: 14 August 2017; revised: 23 November 2017; accepted: 19 December 2017.

DOI:10.1097/QAD.0000000000001778

through follow-up [-0.018 (95% CI -0.062 to 0.027 , $P=0.44$)], or at any visit. However, QNPZ-8 scores increased in both arms during the first year, by 0.22 and 0.24 , respectively ($P<0.001$ for increase from baseline).

Conclusion: We observed substantial improvement in neurocognitive test performance during the first year in both study arms, underlining the importance of using a control group in studies assessing neurocognitive performance over time. Immediate ART neither benefitted nor harmed neurocognitive performance in individuals with $CD4^+$ cell counts above $500\text{ cells}/\mu\text{l}$.

Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

AIDS 2018, **32**:985–997

Keywords: antiretroviral treatment, central nervous system, HAND, HIV, neurocognitive impairment

Introduction

In advanced, untreated HIV infection, 15–20% of individuals develop HIV-associated dementia (HAD) [1,2], the severe form of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) [3]. HAND is a subcortical dementia that results in psychomotor slowing and is associated with increased risk of mortality [4], job loss, [5] and poor medication adherence [6]. Combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) improves neuropsychological performance in 40–60% of individuals with HAD [7–9]. ART regimens with higher versus lower central nervous system (CNS) penetration may effect greater improvement in individuals with HAD [10,11].

In acute HIV type 1 (HIV-1) infection, mild neurological manifestations occur in up to 50% of individuals and prompt clinical resolution is usually observed with immediate ART [12]. In this setting, neuronal injury may occur with raised levels of neurofilament light chain in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and altered ratios of CNS metabolites in brain magnetic resonance imaging [13]. Therefore, plausibly, early ART may preserve neurological function, or reverse neurological damage caused by HIV infection. However, it is unclear if such benefit occurs at high $CD4^+$ cell counts and whether it might be counteracted by potential ART toxicities [14].

We undertook the Neurology substudy of the Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Treatment (START) trial to test the hypothesis that immediate versus deferred ART would benefit neurocognitive performance in antiretroviral-naïve adults with greater than $500\text{ CD4}^+\text{ cells}/\mu\text{l}$.

Methods

Study design

START is a large international, multicentre clinical trial, performed by the International Network for Strategic Initiatives in Global HIV Trials (INSIGHT). START

randomized 4684 ART-naïve, HIV^+ participants with $CD4^+$ cell counts greater than $500\text{ cells}/\mu\text{l}$ to receive immediate versus deferred ART until the $CD4^+$ cell count fell to less than $350\text{ cells}/\mu\text{l}$ [15]. At selected sites, the Neurology Substudy co-enrolled participants who underwent a standard neuropsychological test battery at baseline, months 4, 8, 12, and annually thereafter to compare changes in neuropsychological test performance in the immediate versus deferred groups (described elsewhere) [16]. We report results on data accrued through 26 May 2015, the day before the parent START study was unblinded and all participants in the deferred ART group were recommended to initiate ART because immediate ART was found to have decreased the risk of serious AIDS and non-AIDS illnesses by 57% [15].

Study population

The START Neurology substudy co-enrolled 608 participants between May 2009 and June 2012 at 35 sites in Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Chile, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Thailand, the United Kingdom, and the USA. At participating sites, all eligible participants were offered substudy co-enrollment. Eligibility criteria included START co-enrollment and ability to perform the study tests. The substudy was approved by each institution's Institutional Review Board. Participant information and consent forms were translated as required. All participants provided written informed consent.

Neuropsychological test battery

The neuropsychological test battery consisted of eight tests (grooved pegboard, finger tapping, Color Trails 1 and 2, Semantic Verbal Fluency, WAIS-III Digit Symbol, HVLT-R Learning, HVLT-R Delayed Recall), covering six cognitive domains (Supplemental Appendix, Table S1 footnote, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>) that are affected by HAND [3]. The test battery was constructed to be adaptable across different cross-cultural, international settings [17], to be brief, easy to administer and score, and sensitive to HIV-associated brain injury [3].

The Center for Epidemiological Studies–Depression (CES-D) scale [18] was administered at each substudy visit. A CES-D score 16 or greater was considered to indicate depression.

Details of training, translations, administration of tests and questionnaires, and staff accreditation are described elsewhere [16].

Outcome measures

Test scores were standardized to z -scores using the baseline test results of the 608 study participants as a reference (internal standardization), resulting in mean = 0, SD = 1 at baseline for each test (for detailed description and rationale see [16]). Standardization of the Semantic Fluency test was an exception: because we used alternate Semantic Fluency test versions across visits to minimize practice effect, we standardized its z -scores at each follow-up visit to mean = 0 and SD = 1 using each visit's pooled study population as reference. The quantitative neuropsychological performance z -score (QNPZ-8) was calculated as the average of the z -scores for the eight-test battery.

Primary outcome

The primary outcome was the change in the QNPZ-8 from baseline through follow-up.

Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes included individual test z -scores, neurocognitive impairment (NCI), and a Global Deficit Score (GDS). We defined NCI based on a cognitive domain impairment score rating in line with the Frascati criteria [3]; we did not assess for functional status or confounding factors. Mild NCI [comparable with asymptomatic NCI and early mild neurocognitive disorder (MND) [3]] was defined as having internally standardized z -scores -1 SD below zero in two or more of the six cognitive domains; moderate/severe NCI (comparable with advanced MND and HAD [3]) was defined as having z -scores -2 SD below zero in two or more domains. Due to internal standardization, the sample mean of z -scores at baseline is zero.

The GDS was computed as the average of deficit scores for the eight tests; the deficit scores grade normal performance and impairment into 6 categories: 0 (normal), z greater or equal -1 ; 1 (mild), -1 greater than z greater or equal -1.5 ; 2 (mild–moderate), -1.5 greater than z greater or equal -2 ; 3 (moderate), -2 greater than z greater or equal -2.5 ; 4 (moderate–severe), -2.5 greater than z greater or equal -3 ; and 5 (severe), z less than -3 . With the GDS, low performance on some tests is not cancelled out by high performance on others [19].

Antiretroviral therapy use

ART regimens were selected ('prespecified') prior to randomization by site investigators from a table of regimens recommended by the US Department of Health

and Human Services (see appendix in [15]). We calculated CNS penetration effectiveness (CPE) scores of participants' ART regimens using the 2010 version [20].

Other data collection

In addition to data collected in the parent study [15], the Neurology substudy collected rural or urban residence, current employment status, and education level. The Framingham 10-year risk of coronary heart disease was calculated as a cardiovascular health measure [21].

Statistical methods

The primary analysis for the Neurology substudy was an intent-to-treat comparison between the immediate and deferred ART groups for changes in QNPZ-8 from baseline through follow-up, using a longitudinal mixed model with an indicator variable for treatment group, adjusted for visit and for baseline QNPZ-8 scores. The sample size of 600 participants was estimated to detect an average treatment difference in the change in QNPZ-8 scores between the two study arms of 0.13 with 80% power at a 5% significance level.

A detailed description of statistical methods is included in the Supplemental Appendix, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>. For all analyses, follow-up was censored at each participant's last visit prior to 27 May 2015, when the parent START study was unblinded. To illustrate the differential use of ART in the immediate and deferred groups and its effect on CD4⁺ cell counts and HIV RNA levels through follow-up, the proportion of participants using ART, the proportion of participants with HIV RNA levels of 200 copies/ml or less and the mean change in CD4⁺ cell counts were summarized by treatment group in 4-month intervals. The treatment difference in change in CD4⁺ cell counts through follow-up was estimated in a longitudinal mixed model adjusted for visit and baseline CD4⁺.

In addition to the primary analysis, we also compared treatment groups for changes in QNPZ-8 from baseline through month 12 only. By design, participants in the immediate group were to initiate ART at randomization, whereas few participants in the deferred group initiated ART within the first year; therefore, the difference between treatment groups over the first 12 months is an approximate estimate of the effect of ART versus no ART use. We performed similar intent-to-treat comparisons of changes in z -scores for each of the eight tests as planned per protocol. Within each treatment group, changes in QNPZ-8 and individual z -scores from baseline to annual visits were summarized by means with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Groups were compared for changes from baseline to each visit using t -tests in linear regression models adjusted for baseline scores. We compared treatment groups for changes in the prevalence of NCI and depression from baseline through follow-up using generalized estimating equations (GEE) for binary

responses, and used chi-squared tests to compare prevalence at each visit. We compared treatment groups for changes in GDS using longitudinal mixed models adjusted for visit and baseline GDS. We compared treatment groups for changes in CES-D scores using similar longitudinal mixed models.

To assess the effect of ART versus strictly untreated HIV, we also compared the immediate group (excluding participants who did not start ART within the first year) versus the deferred group (censored at ART start) for changes in QNPZ-8 and individual z -scores; this comparison is not protected by randomization.

Subgroup analyses for the primary endpoint were performed to determine whether the treatment effect differed across baseline characteristics. The homogeneity of the treatment effect across subgroups was assessed by testing for interaction between the subgroup variable and treatment group indicator in longitudinal mixed models; whenever possible, the continuous subgroup variable was used to test for homogeneity. To adjust for multiple comparisons, we used the Benjamini–Hochberg method to limit the false discovery rate (FDR) to 5%.

Analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, United States) and R version 3 [22]. All P values are two-sided; $P \leq 0.05$ denotes significance.

Results

Baseline demographics, laboratory, and clinical characteristics

Key baseline characteristics of substudy participants are summarized in Table 1; 592 of the 608 participants had neuropsychological test data at baseline and follow-up, and were included in the current analyses (Fig. 1). Using the cognitive domain impairment rating, we found that 19.8% of participants were at least mildly impaired, and 2.7% were moderately or severely impaired; the median GDS was 1 (IQR 0–3) (Table 1). Baseline neuropsychological test results are summarized in Table S1 (Supplemental Appendix, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>). There was no difference between study arms in any of the baseline factors.

Antiretroviral therapy use, HIV RNA, and CD4⁺ cell counts through follow-up

Participants were followed for a mean of 3.4 years (range 0.2–5.4 years). By design, ART use differed substantially between treatment groups. In the immediate ART group, 291 (93.1%) of participants started ART within 2 months of randomization, and 92.8% or more used ART at any follow-up visit (Fig. 2a). In the deferred ART group, 11.9, 34.8, 56.7, and 70.8% were using ART at months

12, 24, 36, and 48, respectively (Fig. 2a). ART was used for 94.2% of follow-up time accrued in the immediate group, and for 31.8% in the deferred group (Fig. 2b). During the first year, ART was used for 91.5% of follow-up time in the immediate group compared with 4.4% in the deferred group.

Differences in ART use between the groups were reflected in the HIV RNA and CD4⁺ levels. For almost all participants, viral load was suppressed while using ART (Fig. 2a). Through follow-up, mean CD4⁺ cell counts were higher in the immediate ART group, by 226 cells/ μ l (95% CI 201–250, $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 2c). Mean CD4⁺ cell counts at ART commencement were 676 and 411 cells/ μ l in the immediate and deferred ART groups, respectively.

Neuropsychological test performance through follow-up

The trajectories for mean change in QNPZ-8 in the immediate and deferred ART groups were almost identical; mean QNPZ-8 scores increased substantially from baseline through month 12, by 0.22 and 0.24, respectively ($P < 0.001$ each for increase), and remained stable afterwards (Fig. 3a, and Supplemental Appendix, Table S2A, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>). There was no difference between treatment groups in change in QNPZ-8 from baseline through follow-up [estimated difference -0.02 (95% CI $-0.06 - 0.03$, $P = 0.44$)], or from baseline to any of the follow-up visits (Fig. 3, and Supplemental Appendix, Table S2A, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>).

When considering individual tests, we found no difference between treatment groups for seven of the eight tests ($P = 0.08$ – 0.94 for comparing mean change in z -scores through follow-up; Fig. 3b, and Supplemental Appendix, Tables S2B–I, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>). For the Digit Symbol test, while performance increased in both arms, the z -score increase was lower in the immediate ART group, with an estimated treatment difference through follow-up of -0.12 (95% CI -0.21 to -0.04 , $P = 0.005$) favouring the deferred ART group (Supplemental Appendix, Table S2D, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>).

The pattern of an initial marked increase in z -scores through month 12 in both treatment groups was apparent for the Grooved Pegboard, Color Trails 1 and 2, and WAIS Digit Symbol tests. z -scores for the HVLt-R Learning test also increased over time. For Semantic Verbal Fluency, only the treatment difference, but not the overall increase or decrease from baseline could be estimated because z -scores for this test were standardized to zero at each follow-up visit to account for the different test versions used at different study visits.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

Characteristic	Median (IQR) or N (%)		Total (n = 592)
	Immediate ART (n = 291)	Deferred ART (n = 301)	
Age (years)	33 [27–42]	35 [28–44]	34 [27–42]
Female (%)	27 (9.3%)	40 (13.3%)	67 (11.3%)
Race (%)			
Black	38 (13.1%)	52 (17.3%)	90 (15.2%)
Latino/Hispanic	49 (16.8%)	47 (15.6%)	96 (16.2%)
Asian	45 (15.5%)	50 (16.6%)	95 (16.0%)
White	140 (48.1%)	140 (46.5%)	280 (47.3%)
Other	19 (6.5%)	12 (4.0%)	31 (5.2%)
Highest formal training (%)			
No formal training	58 (19.9%)	63 (20.9%)	121 (20.4%)
Vocational training, completed	72 (24.7%)	73 (24.3%)	145 (24.5%)
Some college or university	73 (25.1%)	69 (22.9%)	142 (24.0%)
Bachelor's degree or equivalent	65 (22.3%)	71 (23.6%)	136 (23.0%)
Master's degree or higher	23 (7.9%)	25 (8.3%)	48 (8.1%)
Currently employed (%)	231 (79.4%)	221 (73.4%)	452 (76.4%)
Urban residence (%)	253 (86.9%)	266 (88.4%)	519 (87.7%)
Country of enrollment (%)			
United Kingdom/Australia	33 (11.3%)	33 (11.0%)	66 (11.1%)
European countries ^a	47 (16.2%)	55 (18.3%)	102 (17.2%)
United States	42 (14.4%)	45 (15.0%)	87 (14.7%)
Thailand	42 (14.4%)	47 (15.6%)	89 (15.0%)
Brazil	85 (29.2%)	80 (26.6%)	165 (27.9%)
Argentina/Chile	42 (14.4%)	41 (13.6%)	83 (14.0%)
Time since HIV diagnosis (years)	0.8 [0.2–2.5]	0.9 [0.3–2.5]	0.9 [0.3–2.5]
Likely mode of HIV infection (%)			
Injection drug use	4 (1.4%)	1 (0.3%)	5 (0.8%)
Male sexual contact, same sex	222 (76.3%)	220 (73.1%)	442 (74.7%)
Sexual contact, opposite sex	53 (18.2%)	62 (20.6%)	115 (19.4%)
Other/unknown	12 (4.1%)	18 (6.0%)	30 (5.1%)
CD4 ⁺ cell count (cells/ μ l)	632 [578–745]	628 [570–735]	629 [575–741]
Nadir CD4 ⁺ cell count (cells/ μ l)	535 [466–626]	534 [473–638]	535 [471–631]
CD4 ⁺ :CD8 ⁺ ratio	0.64 [0.46–0.84]	0.63 [0.47–0.85]	0.64 [0.47–0.84]
HIV RNA (copies/ml)	18126 [5260–46700]	13317 [3609–41357]	15441 [4595–44700]
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.8 [21.5–26.7]	23.7 [21.8–27.0]	23.8 [21.6–26.8]
Prior CVD diagnosis ^b (%)	5 (1.7%)	3 (1.0%)	8 (1.4%)
Framingham 10-year risk of CHD	1.7 [0.5–4.6]	2.0 [0.6–4.9]	1.8 [0.5–4.7]
Hepatitis B or C (%)	21 (7.3%)	16 (5.3%)	37 (6.3%)
Alcoholism/other substance dependence (%)	15 (5.2%)	16 (5.3%)	31 (5.2%)
Psychiatric diagnosis ^c (%)	28 (9.6%)	21 (7.0%)	49 (8.3%)
CES-D score ^d	10 [5–17]	10 [5–19]	10 [5–18]
CES-D score ^d \geq 16 (%)	86 (31.6%)	92 (31.9%)	178 (31.8%)
GDS ^e	1 [0–2]	1 [0–3]	1 [0–3]
Mild impairment ^f (%)	50 (17.2%)	67 (22.3%)	117 (19.8%)
Moderate impairment ^f (%)	7 (2.4%)	9 (3.0%)	16 (2.7%)
CPE score ^g , prespecified ART regimen	7 [7–8]	7 [7–8]	7 [7–8]

ART, antiretroviral therapy; CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression; CVD, cardiovascular disease; GDS, Global Deficit Score IQR, interquartile range.

^aGermany, Italy, Belgium, and Switzerland.

^bHistory of myocardial infarction, stroke, or coronary revascularization.

^cMajor depression, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, or other psychotic disorder.

^dCenter for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale, 16 or greater denotes depression.

^eGlobal Deficit Score, average of deficit scores over eight tests, where the deficit score for an individual test is defined by its z-scores, with 0 for $z \geq -1$, 1 for $-1 > z \geq -1.5$, 2 for $-1.5 > z \geq -2.0$, 3 for $-2.0 > z \geq -2.5$, 4 for $-2.5 > z \geq -3.0$, 5 for $z < -3.0$.

^fz-scores below -1 (for mild impairment) or below -2 (for moderate impairment) for two or more of the six tested domains.

^gCentral nervous system penetration effectiveness score.

Sensitivity analyses showed similar results, when comparing treatment groups through month 12 only (Supplemental Appendix, Tables S2A–I, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>), and when excluding participants who did not start ART within the first year in the immediate ART group and censoring follow-up at ART initiation in the

deferred group (Supplemental Fig. S1, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B234>).

The prevalence of NCI (not corrected for practice effect at follow-up) and changes in mean GDS are shown in the Supplemental Appendix, Tables S3 and S4, <http://>




Fig. 1. Study design and CONSORT flow diagram.

links.lww.com/QAD/B233, respectively; there was no evidence for a difference between treatment groups.

Depressive symptoms

There was no difference between treatment groups regarding change in continuous CES-D scores, estimated difference -0.59 (95% CI -1.63 to 0.45 , $P=0.27$) for longitudinal comparison (Supplemental Appendix, Table S5, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>). Depression prevalence (CES-D ≥ 16) was similar in both groups ($P=0.21$; Supplemental Appendix, Table S6, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>).

Subgroup analyses

Figure 4 illustrates treatment differences for the change in QNPZ-8 across several subgroups; of these, subgroup analyses by age, education, baseline HIV RNA, baseline QNPZ-8, prespecified ART regimens, and CPE score were defined a priori. We analysed 24 subgroup factors, listed in the footnote to Fig. 4.

Among participants whose prespecified regimens included efavirenz, the deferred ART group improved their QNPZ-8 score slightly more than the immediate group, estimated mean difference -0.05 (95% CI -0.10




Fig. 2. (a) Percentage of participants using ART, and percentage with suppressed viral load (HIV RNA \leq 200 copies/ml) over time; (b) ART use expressed as percentage of follow-up time accrued; and (c) mean CD4⁺ cell count levels (\pm 2 SE) over time. ART, antiretroviral therapy.

to -0.00). In contrast, among participants with other prespecified ART, the immediate arm showed greater improvement in their QNPZ-8 scores than the deferred arm, estimated difference 0.11 (95% CI 0.01–0.20; $P=0.004$ for heterogeneity of the treatment effect; Fig. 4). Importantly, participants who were prespecified ART without efavirenz differed from those who were prespecified efavirenz in several characteristics that may impact upon neurocognitive test performance, including a higher prevalence of prior psychiatric diagnoses (20.6 versus 4.9%) and depression (CES-D score ≥ 16 , 43.3 versus 28.6%; Supplemental Appendix, Table S7, <http://links.lww.com/QAD/B233>).

Additionally, the treatment difference between the immediate and deferred groups varied across subgroups by baseline QNPZ-8 scores ($P < 0.001$ for heterogeneity) and by the baseline global deficit score ($P = 0.004$). There was no evidence for a difference in mean QNPZ-8 change between the immediate and deferred ART groups within any of the investigated subgroups, however, except for the subgroup of participants who were not prespecified EFV (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The START Neurology substudy is the largest controlled clinical trial to evaluate the impact of ART on neurocognitive performance among HIV-positive individuals with CD4⁺ cell counts greater than 500 cells/ μ L. We found no difference in the change in neuropsychological test performance when comparing immediate

versus delayed ART in previously untreated, HIV-positive adults with CD4⁺ cell counts above 500 cells/ μ L. Thus, the study's hypothesis that immediate versus delayed ART would have a favourable effect on neurocognitive performance was refuted. As a corollary, we found that early ART neither benefits nor harms neurocognitive performance.

Why was there no beneficial effect of immediate ART on neurocognitive performance, given that benefit has been reported in previous studies [7–9]? This study was well powered to detect a modest treatment difference. There was no difference between the outcomes in the two arms evaluated either by intent-to-treat or in sensitivity analyses. During the first year, ART was used for 91.5% of the follow-up time accrued in the immediate group, compared with 4.4% in the deferred group, and undetectable HIV-RNA levels were observed on ART; therefore, the study's finding could not be explained by ineffective ART, or poor adherence.

It is highly likely that practice effect influenced the sharp, near-identical increase in aggregate test performance (QNPZ-8) in both study arms through month 12. Practice effect occurs following the repeated administration of neuropsychological tests and is well documented [23,24], but often ignored in Neuro-HIV studies [25]. The trajectories we observed are similar to those seen with repeated testing in healthy persons, or in HIV-positive persons who are clinically stable on ART [26]. Of note, participants in both study arms achieved the same incremental improvement in QNPZ-8 from baseline to year 1, and the improvement was orders of magnitude larger than any differences between the




Fig. 3. (a) Change in mean QNPZ-8 scores from baseline through follow-up; (b) change in mean z-scores for the individual tests, which are averaged to calculate the QNPZ-8 summary score.

immediate and deferred ART groups. In previous Neuro-HIV studies that reported beneficial effects of ART, all participants started ART at study entry, there was no control group of delayed ART, no adjustment for practice effect, and the observed improvement in test performance was attributed to ART [7–9]. Our findings contradict conclusions drawn from uncontrolled prospective studies and underline the importance of a control arm in studies assessing neurocognitive test performance over time.

The likeliest biological explanation for the observed lack of effect of immediate ART is that there was little HIV-induced neural injury in our study population, despite the presumed presence of HIV in CSF and local inflammation within at least some of the participants. Study participants were young, urban, educated, mostly employed, and without rapid immune progression; these factors may have afforded neuropsychological protection against the effect of HIV, and as a result neuropsychological performance was not remediated by immediate ART.




Fig. 4. Subgroup analyses for change in mean QNPZ-8 scores from baseline. After adjusting interaction P values for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method, $P \leq 0.004$ provides evidence for heterogeneity of the treatment effect across subgroups at the $FDR \leq 0.05$ level. Subgroup analyses by age, education, HIV RNA level, prespecified ART regimens, and their CNS penetration effectiveness score were specified a priori in the study protocol. In addition to the eight subgroup factors shown, we analyzed subgroups by 16 baseline factors: by race, sex, employment status, urban residence, country of enrollment, time since HIV diagnosis, CD4⁺ cell count, BMI, diabetes, depression (CES-D ≥ 16), prior psychiatric diagnosis, prior cardiovascular disease, 10-year Framingham risk of CHD, hematocrit, AST/SGOT, ALT/SGPT. The treatment effect was homogeneous across those 16 subgroups. ART, antiretroviral therapy; CNS, central nervous system.

With respect to the possibility that ART may have contributed to CNS toxicity, and hence abrogated any possible benefit of immediate ART, use of ART regimens with high CNS penetration effectiveness scores neither benefitted, nor disadvantaged either treatment group. Similarly, with respect to the potential toxicity from efavirenz, those participants whose prespecified ART regimen did not include efavirenz had slightly greater neuropsychological improvement in the immediate versus the deferred group. However, comparing efavirenz to other ART is based on a nonrandomized analysis that needs to be interpreted cautiously, as those participants who were prespecified efavirenz differed markedly from those prespecified other ART.

It is possible that immediate ART in individuals with high CD4⁺ cell counts protects neural health in ways that were not captured by the neurocognitive tests used in this study, or that will only manifest in a delayed fashion. For example, early treatment might reduce or stop expansion of the CNS HIV reservoir, potentially resulting in longer-term benefit [27]. Other measures of ongoing neural injury, including CSF or blood biomarkers such as neurofilament light chain (NFL) [28–30] or neuroimaging modalities [31], may eventually prove to be more sensitive and robust than the neurocognitive test performance. On the other hand, in the absence of confounding conditions, neurocognitive performance has been the evaluation and diagnostic standard for assessing the impact of HIV on CNS functional integrity [32,33], and was not appreciably altered by early compared with delayed therapy in this study.

The study's chief strengths were its randomized design, the large sample size, and the standardized test battery administration. There were several limitations. First, mean follow-up was 3.4 years and, plausibly, an ART effect could emerge after longer treatment duration. Notwithstanding, there was no evidence for a divergence of treatment arms in the study's later years. Second, the test battery was limited to eight neuropsychological tests. However, the battery constitutes tests shown to be highly correlated with cognitive performance on a larger battery [34]. Lastly, we have not measured biomarkers of neural injury or the size of the HIV reservoir in cerebrospinal fluid.

In conclusion, we observed a striking improvement of test performance during the first year in both study arms, which underlines the need for a control group in studies assessing neurocognitive test performance over time. The parent START study showed that immediate ART significantly decreases risk of serious AIDS and non-AIDS conditions, leading to the 2015 WHO recommendation that all HIV-positive individuals should initiate ART irrespective of CD4⁺ cell counts [35]. However, the START Neurology substudy shows neither

benefit, nor harm of early ART with respect to neurocognitive performance in individuals with CD4⁺ cell counts above 500 cells/ μ l.

Acknowledgements

This article is dedicated to the memory of Mollie Poehlman-Roediger, our kind and joyful colleague who worked with us closely on this project and who is greatly missed. Vale.

The authors wish to acknowledge and thank the study participants.

START Neurology Substudy Credit Roster

International Coordinating Centers
Copenhagen: P.O. Jansson.

London: A.G. Babiker, A. Arenas-Pinto, N. B-Atako, E. Dennis, S. Forcat, F. Hudson, B. Jackson, C. Purvis, C. Russell.
Sydney: S. Emery, C. Carey, M. Clewett, S. Jacoby.
Washington: B. Standridge, A. Sanchez, M.J. Vjecha.

Site Investigators by Country by Enrollment (n = number of participants enrolled)

Brazil (*n* = 169)
Projeto Praça Onze Pesquisa em Saúde (*n* = 102): S.R. Telles, N.N. Tebet.
Instituto de Infectologia Emílio Ribas – IIER (*n* = 67): A.C.P. Oliveira, M.R.P. Gascon.
Thailand (*n* = 89)
Chulalongkorn University Hospital (*n* = 68): K. Ruxrungham, S. Gatechompol.
Khon Kaen University, Srinagarind Hospital (*n* = 21): P. Chetchotisakd, S. Anunnatsiri.
Site Coordinating Center: A. Avihingsanon, P. Rerksirikul.
United States (*n* = 88)
Denver Public Health (*n* = 17): J. Scott, E. Gardner.
Regional Center for Infectious Disease (*n* = 12): K. Epperson, C. Van Dam.
UNC AIDS Clinical Trials Unit (*n* = 11): M.R. Chiculel-Bayard, D. Currin.
Virginia Commonwealth University (*n* = 11): V. Watson, D.E. Nixon.
The R & E Group at the Portland VA Research Foundation (*n* = 8): M.D. Murphy, S.M. Sweek.
Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center (*n* = 7): R. Cindrich, M. Vasco.
Naval Medical Center San Diego (*n* = 7): M.F. Bavaro, S.J. Echols, B.K. Agan.
San Antonio Military Health System (*n* = 6): J.F. Okulicz, T.J. Sjoberg.
Wayne State University (*n* = 4): M. Farrough, R. MacArthur.

Wake County Human Services ($n=2$): C. Kronk, J. Jackson.

(Closed sites not included)

Belgium ($n=59$)

Centre Hospitalier Universitaire St. Pierre (C.H.U. St. Pierre; $n=30$): K. Kabeya, V. Lenoir.

Institute of Tropical Medicine ($n=29$): M. van Frankenhuijsen, L. van Petersen.

United Kingdom ($n=48$)

St. Mary's Hospital ($n=19$): B. Mora-Peris, A. DelRosario.

Barts and the Royal London ($n=12$): C. Orkin, J. Hand.

Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, London ($n=12$): B. Gazzard, C. Higgs.

St. Thomas' Hospital ($n=5$): J. Fox, A. Sharp.

Argentina ($n=46$)

FUNCEI ($n=22$): G. Lopardo, G.L. Copertari.

Hospital General de Agudos JM Ramos Mejia ($n=15$): M.H. Losso, J. Bruguera.

Hospital Rawson ($n=9$): D. Daniel, A. Crinejo.

Site Coordinating Center: G.R. Loria, M.L. Doldan, A. Moricz.

Chile ($n=38$)

Fundación Arriarán: M. Wolff, G. Allendes.

Germany ($n=24$)

Klinik I für Innere Medizin, Klinikum der Universität zu Köln ($n=14$): C. Lehman, C. Wyen.

Medizinische Universitätsklinik - Bonn, Immunologische Ambulanz CRS ($n=6$): J. Rockstroh, C. Schwarze-Zander.

Johann Wolfgang Goethe - University Hospital, Infektionsambulanz CRS ($n=4$): C. Stephan, T. Wolf.

Australia ($n=23$)

The Alfred Hospital ($n=11$): J. Hoy, J. Costa.

St Vincent's Hospital Sydney ($n=10$): D.A. Cooper, K. MacRae.

Sexual Health and HIV Service - Clinic 2 ($n=2$): D. Rowling, E. Warzywoda.

Site Coordinating Center: S. Emery, C. Carey, M. Clewett, S. Jacoby.

Switzerland ($n=14$)

University Hospital Zurich ($n=9$): N. Müller, M. Rizzo-Oberholzer.

Bern University Hospital ($n=5$): H. Furrer, M. Lacalamita.

Italy ($n=10$)

IRCCS San Raffaele, Milan: P. Cinque, F. Ferretti.

The complete list of START investigators can be found at *N Engl J Med* 2015; 373:795–807.

Research in Context

Evidence before this study: In advanced, untreated Human Immunodeficiency Virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection, 15–20% of individuals develop HIV-associated dementia (HAD). Combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) improves neuropsychological performance in 40–

60% of individuals with HAD. In acute HIV-1 infection, mild neurological manifestations occur in up to 50% of individuals and clinical resolution is usually observed with immediate ART. However, it is unclear if such benefit occurs in HIV-positive individuals with high CD4⁺ cell counts and whether any benefit might be counteracted by potential ART toxicities, which have been reported in individuals receiving ART regimens that have high penetration into the brain.

Added value of this study: We undertook a Neurology substudy within the Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Treatment (START) study. The START Neurology substudy which enrolled 608 participants is the largest clinical trial to date to evaluate the impact of ART on neurocognitive performance in HIV-positive, ART-naïve individuals with greater than 500 cells/ μ l. Our study found that participants randomized to commence ART immediately versus deferring ART until CD4⁺ less than 350 cells/ μ l did not experience either benefit or harm with respect to their neurocognitive performance, during a mean follow-up period of 3.4 years. ART regimens with high brain penetration did not benefit or advantage either treatment group. Importantly, we observed a marked improvement in neurocognitive test performance in both study arms during the first 12 months, strongly suggesting a practice effect.

Implications of all the available evidence: Our finding suggests that there was minimal underlying neurological damage that could be either prevented or reversed by immediate ART in this study population. The START parent study showed that immediate versus deferred ART decreases the risk of serious AIDS and non-AIDS illnesses by 57%. These pivotal findings led to the 2015 World Health Organization recommendation that all HIV-positive individuals should initiate ART irrespective of CD4⁺ cell counts, and the START Neurology substudy findings support the safety of initiating ART with respect to neurocognitive performance. Our study also underlines the importance of having a control arm in intervention studies that evaluate neurocognitive test performance over time.

Funding: The parent START study was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (United States), Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le SIDA et les Hépatites Virales (France), National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia), National Research Foundation (Denmark), Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (Germany), European AIDS Treatment Network, Medical Research Council (United Kingdom), National Institute for Health Research, National Health Service (United Kingdom), and the University of Minnesota. Antiretroviral drugs were donated to the central drug repository by AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline/ViiV Healthcare,

Janssen Scientific Affairs, and Merck. Additionally, the National Institute of Mental Health and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (United States) specifically funded the START Neurology substudy.

NIH grants: UM1-AI068641 and UM1-AI120197, NINDS/NIMH (funding provided via START NIH grant).

Role of authors: E.J.W., R.W.P., K.R., B.B., and B.G. designed the study, E.J.W., B.G., and R.W.P. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, B.G., G.C., and M.P.R. analyzed the data. E.J.W., K.R., L.C., B.B., M.V., A.P.d.O., B.S., A.A., E.F., J.L., A.A.P., N.M., A.W., L.L., and R.W.P. enrolled participants, performed training, and/or supervised implementation of the study. All co-authors critically reviewed the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- McArthur JC, Hoover DR, Bacellar H, Miller EN, Cohen BA, Becker JT, *et al.* **Dementia in AIDS patients: incidence and risk factors. Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study.** *Neurology* 1993; **43**:2245–2252.
- Portegies P, de Gans J, Lange JM, Derix MM, Speelman H, Bakker M. **Declining incidence of AIDS dementia complex after introduction of zidovudine treatment.** *BMJ* 1989; **299**: 819–821.
- Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, Brew BJ, Byrd DA, Cherner M, *et al.* **Updated research nosology for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders.** *Neurology* 2007; **69**:1789–1799.
- Wilkie FL, Goodkin K, Eisdorfer C, Feaster D, Morgan R, Fletcher MA. **Mild cognitive impairment and risk of mortality in HIV-1 infection.** *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1998; **10**:125–132.
- Albert SM, Marder K, Dooneief G, Bell K, Sano M, Todak G, Stern Y. **Neuropsychologic impairment in early HIV infection. A risk factor for work disability.** *Arch Neurol* 1995; **52**:525–530.
- Hinkin CH, Castellon SA, Durvasula RS, Hardy DJ, Lam MN, Mason KL, *et al.* **Medication adherence among HIV⁺ adults: effects of cognitive dysfunction and regimen complexity.** *Neurology* 2002; **59**:1944–1950.
- Tozzi V, Balestra P, Galgani S, Narciso P, Ferri F, Sebastiani G, *et al.* **Positive and sustained effects of highly active antiretroviral therapy on HIV-1-associated neurocognitive impairment.** *AIDS* 1999; **13**:1889–1897.
- Robertson KR, Robertson WT, Ford S, Watson D, Fiscus S, Harp AG, Hall CD. **Highly active antiretroviral therapy improves neurocognitive functioning.** *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2004; **36**:562–566.
- Cysique LA, Vaida F, Letendre S, Gibson S, Cherner M, Woods SP, *et al.* **Dynamics of cognitive change in impaired HIV-positive patients initiating antiretroviral therapy.** *Neurology* 2009; **73**:342–348.
- Cysique LA, Waters EK, Brew BJ. **Central nervous system antiretroviral efficacy in HIV infection: a qualitative and quantitative review and implications for future research.** *BMC Neurol* 2011; **11**:148.
- Ellis RJ, Letendre S, Vaida F, Haubrich R, Heaton RK, Sacktor N, *et al.* **Randomized trial of central nervous system-targeted antiretrovirals for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder.** *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; **58**:1015–1022.
- Hellmuth J, Fletcher JL, Valcour V, Kroon E, Ananworanich J, Intasan J, *et al.*, SEARCH 010/RV254 Study Group. **Neurologic signs and symptoms frequently manifest in acute HIV infection.** *Neurology* 2016; **87**:148–154.
- Peluso MJ, Meyerhoff DJ, Price RW, Peterson J, Lee E, Young AC, *et al.* **Cerebrospinal fluid and neuroimaging biomarker abnormalities suggest early neurological injury in a subset of individuals during primary HIV infection.** *J Infect Dis* 2013; **207**:1703–1712.
- Underwood J, Robertson KR, Winston A. **Could anti-retroviral neurotoxicity play a role in the pathogenesis of cognitive impairment in treated HIV disease?** *AIDS* 2015; **29**:253–261.
- INSIGHT START Study Group. Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, Emery S, Grund B, *et al.* **Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection.** *N Engl J Med* 2015; **373**:795–807.
- Wright EJ, Grund B, Cysique LA, Robertson KR, Brew BJ, Collins G, *et al.*, International Network for Strategic Initiatives in Global HIV Trials (INSIGHT) START Study Group. **Factors associated with neurocognitive test performance at baseline: a substudy of the INSIGHT Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Treatment (START) trial.** *HIV Med* 2015; **16** (Suppl 1): 97–108.
- Wright E, Brew B, Arayawichanont A, Robertson K, Saminthanapanya K, Kongsangdao S, *et al.* **Neurologic disorders are prevalent in HIV-positive outpatients in the Asia-Pacific region.** *Neurology* 2008; **71**:50–56.
- Radloff L. **The CES-D Scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population.** *Applied psychological measures* 1977; **1**:385–401.
- Carey CL, Woods SP, Gonzalez R, Conover E, Marcotte TD, Grant I, *et al.* **Predictive validity of global deficit scores in detecting neuropsychological impairment in HIV infection.** *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2004; **26**:307–319.
- Letendre S. **Central nervous system complications in HIV disease: HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder.** *Top Antivir Med* 2011; **19**:137–142.
- Anderson KM, Odell PM, Wilson PW, Kannel WB. **Cardiovascular disease risk profiles.** *Am Heart J* 1991; **121** (1 Pt 2):293–298.
- Team. RC. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2016. Available at: <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- McCaffrey R, Duff K, Westervelt HJ. *Practitioner's guide to evaluating change with neuropsychological assessment instruments.* New York: Oxford University Press; 2000.
- Heilbronner RL, Sweet JJ, Attix DK, Krull KR, Henry GK, Hart RP. **Official position of the American Academy of Clinical Neuropsychology on serial neuropsychological assessments: the utility and challenges of repeat test administrations in clinical and forensic contexts.** *Clin Neuropsychol* 2010; **24**:1267–1278.
- Grund B, Wright EJ, Brew BJ, Price RW, Roediger MP, Bain MP, *et al.*, INSIGHT SMART Study Group. **Improved neurocognitive test performance in both arms of the SMART study: impact of practice effect.** *J Neurovirol* 2013; **19**: 383–392.
- Cysique LA, Franklin D Jr, Abramson I, Ellis RJ, Letendre S, Collier A, *et al.*, CHARTER Group; HNRC Group. **Normative data and validation of a regression based summary score for assessing meaningful neuropsychological change.** *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2011; **33**:505–522.
- Gisslén M, Price RW, Spudich S, Hagberg L, Deeks SG, Burbelo P. **HIV antibodies in CSF and serum in untreated and treated infection [abstract no 391].** *CROI*, 13–16 February 2017, Seattle, Washington.
- Gisslén M, Price RW, Andreasson U, Norgren N, Nilsson S, Hagberg L, *et al.* **Plasma concentration of the neurofilament light protein (NFL) is a biomarker of CNS injury in HIV infection: a cross-sectional study.** *EBioMedicine* 2016; **3**: 135–140.
- Yilmaz A, Blennow K, Hagberg L, Nilsson S, Price RW, Schouten J, *et al.* **Neurofilament light chain protein as a marker of neuronal injury: review of its use in HIV-1 infection and reference values for HIV-negative controls.** *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2017; **17**:761–770.

30. Van Zoest RA, Underwood J, De Francesco D, Sabin CA, Cole JH, Wit FW, *et al.* **Structural brain abnormalities in successfully treated HIV infection: associations with disease and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers.** *J Infect Dis* 2017; **217**:69–81.
31. Cole JH, Underwood J, Caan MW, De Francesco D, van Zoest RA, Leech R, *et al.*, COBRA collaboration. **Increased brain-predicted aging in treated HIV disease.** *Neurology* 2017; **88**:1349–1357.
32. Haddow LJ, Laverick R, Daskalopoulou M, McDonnell J, Lampe FC, Gilson R, *et al.*, Cognitive Impairment in People with HIV in the European Region (CIPHER) Study Group. **Multicenter European Prevalence Study of neurocognitive impairment and associated factors in HIV positive patients.** *AIDS Behav* 2017; doi: 10.1007/s10461-017-1683-z.
33. Heaton RK, Franklin DR Jr, Deutsch R, Letendre S, Ellis RJ, Casaletto K, *et al.*, Grant I; CHARTER Group. **Neurocognitive change in the era of HIV combination antiretroviral therapy: the longitudinal CHARTER study.** *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; **60**: 473–480.
34. Moore DJ, Roediger MJ, Eberly LE, Blackstone K, Hale B, Weintrob A. **Identification of an abbreviated test battery for detection of HIV-associated neurocognitive impairment in an early-managed HIV-infected cohort.** *PLoS One* 2012; **7**:e47310.
35. WHO. *Guideline on when to start antiretroviral therapy and on preexposure prophylaxis for HIV.* Geneva: WHO Press; 2015.